

## The Structure of Grain Boundaries in Strontium Titanate: Theory, Simulation and Electron Microscopy

Nicole A. Benedek,<sup>1,2</sup> Lin Chen,<sup>3</sup> Alvin Chua,<sup>3</sup> David Cockayne,<sup>4</sup> Karleen J. Dudeck,<sup>4</sup>  
Christian Elsässer,<sup>5,6</sup> Michael W. Finnis,<sup>1,3</sup> Christoph T. Koch,<sup>7</sup> Behnaz Rahmati,<sup>7</sup> Manfred  
Rühle,<sup>7</sup> Shao-Ju Shih,<sup>4</sup> and Adrian P. Sutton<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Materials, <sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, Imperial College London, London SW7  
2AZ, United Kingdom

<sup>2</sup>Present address: Cornell Center for Materials Research, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York

<sup>4</sup>Department of Materials, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3PH, United Kingdom

<sup>5</sup>IZBS, University of Karlsruhe, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany

<sup>6</sup>Fraunhofer Institute for Mechanics of Materials IWM, 79108 Freiburg, Germany <sup>7</sup>Max Planck  
Institute for Metals Research, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany

We describe a combination of theoretical and experimental techniques that have been applied to the study of grain boundaries in SrTiO<sub>3</sub>, with particular attention to  $\Sigma 3$ - and (100)-oriented grain boundaries.

Several interatomic potentials from the literature have been tested in order to explore how accurately they describe the structures of the  $\Sigma 3(111)[\bar{1}10]$  and  $\Sigma 3(112)[\bar{1}10]$  boundaries[1]. These potentials are of three types: the rigid ion model, with either fixed formal or partial charges, and the shell model. We have also performed a Density Functional Theory (DFT) study with the CASTEP code[2] on the same boundaries, and used the generated data (interface structures and energies) to evaluate the quality of the interatomic potentials with the GULP code[3]. The different potentials and the DFT calculations all predict two alternative structures for the  $\Sigma 3(112)[\bar{1}10]$  boundary, one mirror-symmetric and one displaced by  $2a\langle\bar{1}11\rangle/3$ , and they predict qualitatively similar patterns of atomic relaxation, but boundary energies, excess volumes and their ordering are not consistent between the models. The energies of mirror-symmetric and displaced  $\Sigma 3(112)[\bar{1}10]$  boundaries are indistinguishable within the accuracy of the DFT calculations.

An electron microscopy study, which includes high-resolution transmission and high-angle annular dark field methods, has been applied to mapping atomic columns

and testing the theoretical models. In a near- $\Sigma 3(112)[\bar{1}10]$  boundary, prepared from a bicrystal, patches of the symmetric structure are found, with intensities consistent with a deficit of strontium at the boundary plane.

We also test successfully on these boundaries a promising genetic algorithm for discovering low-energy grain boundary structures in an unbiased fashion, including the effect of non-stoichiometry[4].

This work was funded by the EU 6th Framework Programme within the INCEMS project (contract number 013862). A full review of the theoretical study and corresponding electron microscopy is to be published in Annual Review of Materials Research.

#### References

- [1] Benedek NA, Chua ALS, Elsasser C, Sutton AP, Finnis MW. Interatomic potentials for strontium titanate: An assessment of their transferability and comparison with density functional theory. *Phys. Rev. B* 2008;78:064110.
- [2] Segall MD, Lindan PJD, Probert MJ, Pickard CJ, Hasnip PJ, Clark SJ, Payne MC. First-principles simulation: ideas, illustrations and the CASTEP code. *J. Phys: Condens. Matter* 2002;14:2717.
- [3] Gale JD. GULP: A computer program for the symmetry-adapted simulation of solids. *J. Chem. Soc.-Faraday Trans.* 1997;93:629.
- [4] Chua AL-S, Benedek NA, Chen L, Finnis MW, Sutton AP. A genetic algorithm to predict the structures of interfaces in multicomponent systems. *Nature Materials* 2010; doi:10.1038/nmat2712.